Combined Uterine Artery Ligation, Segmental Myometrial Resection and Intrauterine Balloon to Control Bleeding from Placenta Previa Accreta during Caesarean Section
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Background: Morbidity attached placenta (MAP) remain a major surgical problem for obstetricians due to severe hemorrhage and its incidence had been continually increased due to increased rate of cesarean deliveries.

Aim of the work: To evaluate the safety and efficacy of a conservative intervention for management of placenta accreta.

Patients and Methods: Forty-eight, pregnant females with a confirmed diagnosis of placenta previa accreta had been scheduled for elective cesarean delivery had been included. All females had been screened by full history taking, clinical examination, ultrasound and laboratory investigations. Blood loss, operative time, need for transfusion and need for other surgical interventions represented the main outcome and other complications were documented.

Results: The blood loss (ml) ranged between 850 to 1300 ml; the mean values were 1082.29±105.89 ml. The operative time ranged between 60 to 130 minutes; the mean values were 87.92±19.35 minutes. There was significant decrease of post-partum hemoglobin when compared to corresponding pre-partum values (9.29±0.76 vs 10.71±0.54 mg/dl respectively). Four patients [8.3%] need ICU admission, and nine patients [18.75%] need blood transfusion. However, no patients need further surgical intervention or developed DIC. In addition, no mortality had been reported in the current work.

Conclusion: Combined uterine artery ligation, partial segmental myometrial resection and intrauterine balloon as a conservative treatment modality or placenta previa accreta is safe and effective.
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INTRODUCTION

Placenta previa is an abnormal placentation, which usually presented as a third-trimester painless bleeding. It is usually defined when placenta become abnormally near or cover the cervical internal os[1] and in obstetrics, feto-maternal complications usually occurred[2]. Placenta accreta appears to be a result of endometrial damage or uterine scarring. Furthermore, a recurrent complication is the invasion of placental villi beyond the decidua basalis, which causes the development of Placenta accreta spectrum (accreta (abnormally adherent placenta), placenta increta (abnormally invasive placenta) and advanced form of advanced invasive placenta (placenta percreta))[3]. The placenta accreta incidence has been increased as a results of higher cesarean delivery rates; its incidence is about 1 in 731 deliveries[4]. It could be unexpectedly associated with hazardous complications (e.g., intratable postpartum hemorrhage (PPH), hysterectomy, multiple organ dysfunction and even death[5]. Standard surgical intervention is not clear, although many trials revealed better outcome with a planned hysterectomy of the cesarean hysterectomy before the beginning of labor or bleeding[6].

We propose that, conservative management with combined uterine artery ligation, partial segmental myometrial resection and intrauterine balloon could represent an effective and safe alternative for management of placenta accreta. We suggested that, this combined maneuver will be associated with low complications rate (e.g., blood loss, need for ICU admissions, etc..) and maneuver related mortality.

AIM OF THE WORK

The current work had been designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of combined uterine artery ligation, partial segmental myometrial resection and intrauterine balloon as a conservative treatment for placenta accrete.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

The current observational, prospective study had been carried out by the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Damietta Faculty of Medicine (Al-Azhar University). Forty-eight, eligible pregnant females who had a confirmed diagnosis of placenta accreta and had been scheduled for elective cesarean delivery had been included.

To be included, females must be confirmed for placenta previa accreta and had single fetus. On the other side, exclusion criteria were: multiple gestations, known bleeding disorder, known risk factors for PPH, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, antepartum hemorrhage (APH) or delivery by emergency cesarean section.

The study protocol had been approved by the IRP (institutional review board) for its ethical and design considerations. In addition, the study and its impacts had been explained for all females, who had been signed an informed consent. Then all females had been screened by full history taking and clinical examination. In addition, Lab investigation had been carried out (complete blood count and coagulation profile). An abdominal ultrasound (routine) had been performed to confirm the gestational age, confirm the diagnosis and assess the condition. Preoperative hemoglobin (Hb) assessment had been carried out and 4-6 blood units and fresh frozen plasma had been cross matched for each case before planned cesarean section. Elective cesarean deliveries had been performed between 36-37weeks of gestation according to established guidelines. The surgical procedure had been performed as described elsewhere [7], but with the following points kept in mid: the incision of the uterus had been carried out high away from the placenta. The placenta had been left in place not removed after delivery. Then, bilateral uterine artery ligation (BUAL) had been performed and placenta then removed. Then, a selected partial myometrial resection had been performed to reduce lower uterine segment space. Foley’s catheter no 20, had been placed intrauterine and the lower end was passed through the cervical canal.

The primary outcome: the intraoperative blood loss, operative time, need for blood transfusion, and the need for other surgical interventions as cesarean hysterectomy. Blood loss had been measured by collection of amounts of blood in the suction’s bottles and weight of intra- and post-operative blood towels.
The operative time had been calculated as onset of skin incision to skin closure.

The secondary outcome: the change in pre- and post-partum hemoglobin. Postoperative assessment included pulse, blood pressure, complete blood count (CBC), development of disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), intensive care unit (ICU) admission and the need for re-laparotomy.

Statistical methods: Sample size calculation: To be clinically significant, it had been proposed that combined uterine artery ligation, segmental myometrial resection and intrauterine balloon could reduce intraoperative blood loss by 50%. Accordingly, we need to study 24 patients in each group to be able to reject the null hypothesis at the power of 80% and the type one error probability of 0.05. In addition, the collected data had been statistically analyzed by IBM SPSS software package version 20.0. (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp, USA). Categorical data were expressed in frequency and percentages and descriptive data (mean ± standard deviation) had been calculated for numerical data. Pre- and postoperative data had been compared by the paired samples (t) test. P value < 0.05 had been considered significant.

RESULTS

In the current study, patient age ranged between 20 and 40 years; the mean age 28.10±4.79 years. The gravidity ranged between 2 and 5 (3.21 ± 0.94 mean ±SD); parity ranged between 1 and 4 (1.94 ± 0.89 mean±SD); the mean number of previous cesarean deliveries was 1.79±0.87 (ranged between 1 and 4). In addition, the mean pulse, systolic BP and diastolic BP were (84.69 ± 6.33, 101.0 ± 6.27 and 66.85 ± 5.64 respectively) (Table 1).

In addition, 58.3% of studied females were housewives, 52.1% were from rural area, and 58.3% had been subjected to spinal anesthesia and 41.7% of general anesthesia (data not tabulated).

The preoperative diagnosis of placenta centralis was complete centralis among 14.6%, centralis anterior among 8.3%, centralis posterior among 41.7%, complete centralis anteroposterior among 2.1%, incomplete centralis anterior among 4.2%, incomplete centralis posterior among 2.1% and marginalis anterior among 27.1% (Table 2). The intraoperative blood loss (ml) ranged between 850 to 1300 ml; the mean values were 1082.29±105.89 ml. In addition, the operative time ranged between 60 to 130 minutes; the mean values were 87.92±19.35 minutes (table 3).

As regard pre-partum hemoglobin, it ranged from 9.6 to 11.50 g/dl, while post-partum hemoglobin ranged between 8 to 11 g/dl; with significant decrease of post-partum when compared to corresponding pre-partum values (9.29±0.76 vs 10.71±0.54 mg/dl respectively) (Table 4).

Four patients [8.3%] need ICU admission, and nine patients [18.75%] need blood transfusion. However, no patients need further surgical intervention or developed DIC. In addition, no mortality had been reported in the current work.

<p>| Table [1]: Descriptive data of studied females |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>28.10 ± 4.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravidity</td>
<td>3.21 ± 0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>1.94 ± 0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of previous CS</td>
<td>1.79 ± 0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA at delivery</td>
<td>36.48 ± 0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse</td>
<td>84.69 ± 6.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP</td>
<td>101.0 ± 6.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP</td>
<td>66.85 ± 5.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table (2): Distribution of the studied cases according to preoperative diagnosis (n = 48)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preoperative diagnosis</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete centralis</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centralis anterior</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centralis posterior</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete centralis antero-posterior</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete centralis anterior</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete centralis posterior</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginalis anterior</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (3): Descriptive analysis of the studied cases according to Intraoperative blood loss and operative duration (n = 48)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Min. – Max.</th>
<th>Mean ± SD.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intraoperative blood loss (ml)</td>
<td>850.0 – 1300.0</td>
<td>1082.29 ± 105.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative duration (min)</td>
<td>60.0 – 130.0</td>
<td>87.92 ± 19.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (4): Comparison between pre-partum and post-partum according to hemoglobin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hemoglobin</th>
<th>Pre-partum</th>
<th>Post-partum</th>
<th>Paired (t)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min. – Max.</td>
<td>9.60 – 11.50</td>
<td>8.0 – 11.0</td>
<td>11.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD.</td>
<td>10.71 ± 0.54</td>
<td>9.29 ± 0.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Results of the current work revealed a favorable outcome of the investigated procedure. The combination of more than hemostatic measure before removal of placenta could explain the excellent outcome in the current study. These results agree with Zhao et al.[8], Tskhay et al.[9] and Sanad et al.[10] regarding patient age, gravidity, parity and residence.

Pinas-Carrillo et al. showed that the commonest placental localization was anterior previa in 29 cases (58.0%)[7]. This is comparable to the current study [placenta centralis posterior (41.7%) followed by marginalis anterior (27.1%)]. The planned delivery with CS at 35 to 37 weeks in the current work, is comparable to the practice of Acar et al.[11] who carried an elective (planned) CS at 37 weeks for females with placenta previa with reduced risk of bleeding, although placenta previa itself associated with higher risk of bleeding. Acar et al.[11] reported that, the mean blood loss was 1350 ± 750 ml and their patients’ needs blood transfusion. They clamped the uterine artery and used uterine sutures. However, the advanced invasive placenta may be responsible for high bleeding. However, they used a conservative management for females who want fertility preservation. Their conservative technique included intracavitary suture technique after occlusion of the proximal branch of the uterine artery with blockage of utero-ovarian anastomoses. Blood loss in the present trial is comparable to Amsalem et al.[1] who reported a range of 900–1600 ml of blood loss in scheduled conservative treatment with adjuvant prophylactic embolization of the uterine artery shortly after CS and no peripartum hysterectomy had been required in either series.

In controversy to the current work, Salim et al.[12] reported that, the use of prophylactic balloon did not be associated with significant reduction of blood loss or need for blood transfusion in patients with placental invasion. However, You et al.[13] reported a similar technique to the segmentally incision of the lower uterine segment, suggesting that, such technique permits direct observation of bleeding points with direct hemostasis, especially in lower uterine segment.
The separation of the placenta increases the risk of bleeding and we strongly believe that placental no separation and segmental myometrial excision is a crucial step of our procedure that avoids excessive bleeding. These results are better than other procedures used as by Soyer et al. who performed pelvic embolization in emergency cases alone. The success rate was 83.3% after one or two sessions of embolization. They added, 56% of females with placenta accreta did not need embolization and thus stated that the usage of prophylactic embolization should not be warranted. The same can be applied for the prophylactic placement of balloon occlusion catheters[14]. Another case report by Gaspare et al. who used BUAL with delayed placental separation and placement of intrauterine Bakri balloon tamponade. They concluded that the application of the Bakri balloon and pelvic devascularization decreases the need for hysterectomy and the patient’s fertility is preserved[4].

Different techniques were reported for management of placental invasion, for example, Palacios-Jaraquemada et al.[15] reported uterine repair among anterior placenta percreta, with selective vascular ligature or surgical myometrial compression and used myometrial pulley sutures to repair anterior myometrial wall defects followed by fibrin glue, mesh and a cellulose layer application over this reconstruction. The uterine preservation rate was 73.5% which is lower than the current work (100%). In addition, the uterine preservation rate in the current work is higher than those reported in other trials (78%–85%)[16,17].

In agreement with the current work, Lin et al.[18] reported that, BUAL followed by delivery of the placenta in females with placental invasion effectively decrease intraoperative blood loss, reduce postpartum hemorrhage [PPH], and the risk of complications [e.g., hysterectomy]. In addition, Wang et al.[19] concluded that, prophylactic intraoperative bilateral uterine artery or internal iliac artery embolization represent an effective strategy to control intractable peripartum hemorrhage and preserve fertility in placenta previa.

The strength of the current study represented by the multimodality and multistep approach for conservative management, which achieved good hemostasis before placental delivery. However, the small number of included patients represented one limiting step. In addition, the elective nature of the procedure prevents globalization of the safety and efficacy of the current technique. Future studied thus are warranted.

Conclusion:

Combined uterine artery ligation, partial segmental myometrial resection and intrauterine balloon as a conservative treatment for placenta previa accrete a safe and effective procedure.

Financial and Non-financial Relationships and Activities of Interest

Authors declare that there was no competing interest

REFERENCES


