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ABSTRACT

Background: The link between parasitic infections and either allergic diseases or unexplained neurologic manifestations was hypothesized especially in children. However, the debate continues regarding this link.

Aim of the work: To determine the seroprevalence of toxocariasis in children with different allergic diseases [bronchial asthma and skin allergy], besides the unexplained neurological manifestations [epilepsy and focal neurologic deficits] in children.

Patients and methods: Children with bronchial asthma [40 children], skin allergy [40 children], and unexplained neurological manifestations [epilepsy and focal neurologic deficits], [40 children] were included. All underwent a full history taking, clinical examination, lab investigations and determination of seropositivity for Toxocara canis.

Results: Eosinophilia was reported in 22.5%, 30.0% and 17.5% of asthma, skin and unexplained neurological manifestations groups respectively. In patients with asthma, the prevalence of toxocariasis was 15.0%, in skin disease, the incidence was 7.5% and in unexplained neurological manifestations, it was 12.5%. There was no significant difference between positive and negative toxocariasis in regard to patient demographics. But there was a significant increase in contact with pets, chronic urticaria, lymph nodes involvement and eosinophilia in positive when compared to negative groups.

Conclusion: The association between Toxocara canis infection and allergic diseases such as bronchial asthma, chronic urticaria and unexplained neurological manifestations [epilepsy and focal neurologic deficits], was confirmed in the present work. The contact with dogs and cats [pets] was the major determinant risk factor explaining such association. Prospective cohort studies were warranted to examine the cause effect relationship.
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INTRODUCTION

Human toxocariasis is a worldwide zoonotic helminthic infection that caused by two species of the ascarid worms *Toxocara canis* and to a lesser extent *T. cati*. Also, *Toxocara leonina* which cause mixed infections in cats and dogs can be able to infect humans; therefore, it has zoonotic and public health importance [1-2]. There are other species of *Toxocara*, that rarely or never infecting human[3].

Toxocariasis was first described in 1950s and considered as uncommon in children. However, several researches reported that *Toxocara* is the commonest worm worldwide and it is more frequent in children with an age group 3 to 12 years[4-6]. The infection transmitted to humans by ingestion of embryonated eggs from soil [geophagia, pica], unclean hands, uncooked vegetables, and larvae from raw giblets. Eating soil [pica] was a risk factor for Toxocariasis. *Toxocara* larvae reach the liver, lung and other organs of the body by penetrating the intestinal mucosa and migration to different organs. The infection morbidity depends mainly on host immune response and parasite burden[7].

The body responds through immune reactions, with resultant local inflammation, eosinophilia and cytokines production[8]. According to *Toxocara* larvae migration through tissues, human toxocariasis is classified into visceral, cerebral, ocular, and covert toxocariasis[9].

The clinical presentation of toxocariasis in human subjects varies from asymptomatic to severe disease[10]. However, many infections were underdiagnosed due to the asymptomatic, mild, or non-specific clinical features of the infection[11]. Asymptomatic human toxocariasis could be presented with impaired cognitive functions [11] and immunomodulation. The pulmonary toxo-cariasis was with asthma like symptoms [12]. The plausible role of parasitic infections as a trigger or a cause of asthma is not well investigated[13]. However, toxocariasis has been proposed as a probable etiology of asthma [14-15].

Bronchial asthma is one of the most common chronic diseases challenging health care providers all over the world. It increased progressively during the last decades due to environmental and lifestyle risk factors[16].

The association between toxocariasis and epilepsy was reported, as there were high seropositivity rates for *Toxocara* among epileptic patients. However, the evidence needs further investigations[17], and an accurate estimation of the link between *Toxocara* and epilepsy is needed due to the high incidence of toxocariasis all over the world [18]. Furthermore, the dermatological manifestations in intestinal toxocariasis presented as rash, pruritus, eczema, panniculitis, urticaria, and vasculitis, have also been reported[19].

The Western blotting assay combines the high sensitivity of the immune-enzymatic tests with the high resolution of sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS PAGE). This method has been successfully used for the confirmatory serodiagnosis of various parasitic diseases, including Toxocariasis, schistosomiasis, hydatidosis, cysticercosis, taeniasis, fasciolosis and strongyloidiasis. Nowadays, in patients with suspected toxocariasis, immunoblot assay is useful to confirm any positive serum by the ELISA test [where pre absorption is not carried out][18].

AIM OF THE WORK

The present study aimed to determine the seroprevalence of toxocariasis in children with different allergic diseases [bronchial asthma and skin allergy], and unexplained neurological manifestations [epilepsy and focal neurologic deficits].

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

This study was carried out at Al-Azhar University hospital and department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University [Damietta], Egypt. It included 120 children, age 3-16 years who were evaluated and followed up in the Neurology, Pediatric, Dermatology, and Chest disease departments, during the period from August 2018 to August 2019. In this study, we focus on chronic asthma, particular skin manifestations [chronic urticaria [CU] and pruritis/prurigo] and cryptogenic epilepsy and focal neurological lesions regarding their clinical description, diagnosis and treatment to
investigate the probable relationship between *Toxocara* seropositivity and asthma, chronic urticaria, and cryptogenic epilepsy and focal neurological lesions.

Informed consent was obtained from the parents/guardians of children after a simple and clear explanation of the research object, procedure and the liberty to drop out. All children were subjected to full history taking, full physical examination and were examined for seropositivity for *Toxocara* antibodies by immunoblot. The following data were collected for each participant [age, sex, residence, contact with pets, and geophagia].

We included patients aged 3-16 years of age, who had moderate to severe bronchial asthma [40 children], pruritus/prurigo [20 patients] and chronic urticaria [20 patients]. Chronic urticaria defined as an outbreak of edematous, projecting, well delimited, and rounded papules, persisting for more than 6 weeks. In addition, 40 children with unexplained neurological manifestations were included. The cryptogenic epilepsy was diagnosed on the basis of: A negative family history of epilepsy, no history of head trauma, no previous brain surgery, meningitis or encephalitis and normal magnetic resonance imaging [MRI] and electroencephalogram [EEG].

The exclusion criteria were: diseased and healthy children positive for nematodes infection by stool examination [e.g., ascariasis, enterobiasis] to overcome cross reactivity to toxocariasis, and acute symptomatic seizures, specific seizure patterns, and epileptic syndromes.

After full clinical evaluation, the samples were drawn for laboratory work up, which included complete blood count [eosinophilia], Immunoblot [for *Toxocara canis* IgG]. Finally, microscopic stool examination had been performed.

**Sample Collection:**

**Feces samples** were collected from patients for three consecutive days and evaluated for nematode eggs. Positive cases were excluded to avoid cross-reaction possibility.

**Blood samples:** 5 ml of venous blood samples were collected from diseased children and healthy controls included in the study and placed in 2 tubes, one containing anticoagulant for CBC with differential leukocytes count looking mainly for eosinophilia corresponded to levels above 400/mm³. This test was made by [Sysmex XP-300™ Automated Hematology Analyzer]. The 2nd tube is a serology collection tube where 3 ml whole blood was collected, centrifuged and serum separated and collected in aliquots for serologic testing.

**Serological Investigation:**

Collected serum samples were stored at −20°C until used. These samples were then tested for anti-*Toxocara* IgG. The IgG detection was done using *Toxocara* Western Blot [WB] IgG [LDBIO Diagnostic, Lyon, France] which detect antibodies against the excretory/secretory antigen of the *Toxocara canis* larvae. A sample of 10µl is required for *Toxocara* WB IgG testing. Positive and negative controls were included in the kit.

**Data analysis:** Quantitative data were presented as mean ± standard deviation [SD] and groups compared by independent samples student [t] test; while categorical variables expressed as frequency and percentages and groups compared by Chi-square test. P value < 0.05 was considered significant and all data processing and analysis were carried out by statistical package for social sciences [SPSS] version 16 [SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA].

**RESULTS**

Patient’s age ranged from 3 to 13 years with no significant difference between studied groups [the mean age was 8.10± 2.15, 7.30 ± 2.33 and 7.27 ± 2.22 years in asthma, skin, unexplained focal neurologic lesions and unexplained neurologic manifestations groups respectively]. Also, there was no significant difference between the groups as regards to sex distribution [males represented 65.0%, 55.0% and 57.5% of asthma, skin and unexplained neurologic manifestations groups respectively]. Rural area predominates in the studied groups [72.5%, 75.0% and 77.5% of asthma, skin and unexplained neurologic manifestations groups successively]. The contact with pets was reported in more than 80.0% of all groups [80.0% in asthma group, 82.5% in skin...
In the asthma group, chronic cough was reported in 82.5%, wheezy chest in 100.0% of patients and dyspnea in 47.5%; while in skin group, 50% had chronic urticaria and 50% had pruritus. Finally, unexplained neurologic manifestations cases were 92.5% cryptogenic epilepsy and 7.5% unexplained focal neurologic lesions. Besides lymph node involvement was reported in only one patient in the asthma group, while eosinophilia was reported in 22.5%, 30.0% and 17.5% of asthma, skin unexplained neurologic manifestations groups respectively. In patients with asthma, the incidence of asymptomatic toxocariasis was 15.0%, in skin disease, the incidence was 7.5% and in patients with unexplained neurologic manifestations, it was 12.5% [Table 2].

In the present study, there was no significant difference between positive and negative toxocariasis as regard to patient age, sex, residence, geophagia, chronic cough, wheezing, dyspnea, cryptogenic epilepsy and unexplained focal neurologic lesions. On the other hand, there was a significant increase of contact with pets, chronic urticaria, lymph node involvement and eosinophilia in positive when compared to negative groups [Table 3].

Table [1]: Patient demographics, history of contact with pets and geophagia among studied groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Asthma [n=40]</th>
<th>Skin allergy [n=40]</th>
<th>Unexplained neurologic manifestation [n=40]</th>
<th>T test</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age [mean±SD; range]</td>
<td>8.10±2.15; 5.0-13.0</td>
<td>7.30±2.33; 3.0-12.50</td>
<td>7.27±2.22; 4.0-13.0</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.18[ns]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>26(65.0%)</td>
<td>22(55.0%)</td>
<td>23(57.5%)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.63[ns]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>14(35.0%)</td>
<td>18(45.0%)</td>
<td>17(42.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>29(72.5%)</td>
<td>30(75.0%)</td>
<td>31(77.5%)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.87[ns]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>11(27.5%)</td>
<td>10(25.0%)</td>
<td>9(22.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact with pets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>32(80.0%)</td>
<td>33(82.5%)</td>
<td>34(85.0%)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.84[ns]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>8(20.0%)</td>
<td>7(17.5%)</td>
<td>6(15.0%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geophagia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6(15.0%)</td>
<td>5(12.5%)</td>
<td>5(12.5%)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.93[ns]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>34(85.0%)</td>
<td>35(87.5%)</td>
<td>35(87.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table [2]: Clinical manifestations among studied populations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Asthma [n=40]</th>
<th>Skin allergy [n=40]</th>
<th>Unexplained neurologic manifestation [n=40]</th>
<th>T test</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chronic cough</td>
<td>33(82.5%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheezing</td>
<td>40(100.0%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea</td>
<td>19(47.5%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic urticaria</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20(50.0%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pruritus</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20(50.0%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptogenic epilepsy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37(92.5%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unexplained Focal neurological lesions</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3(7.5%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph nodes involvement</td>
<td>1(2.5%)</td>
<td>0(0.0%)</td>
<td>0(0.0%)</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.36[ns]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophilia</td>
<td>9(22.5%)</td>
<td>12(30.0%)</td>
<td>7(17.5%)</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.41[ns]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxocara Immunoblot</td>
<td>6(15.0%)</td>
<td>3(7.5%)</td>
<td>5(12.5%)</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.56[ns]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody positivity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

xxx
**DISCUSSION**

*Toxocara* was reported as one of the widely world distributed geoparasites[20]. About 21% of the population was infected with one or more intestinal geohelminths. Also bronchial asthma was increased in the industrialized countries[21].

Results of the present work revealed that the overall rate of toxocariasis in all studied children was 11.67% [15% in asthmatic children, 7.5% in children with skin disease and 12.5% in children with unexplained neurological manifestations]. The most significant associated factor with toxocariasis was contact with pets [dogs and cats]. The most significant associated clinical manifestations were chronic urticaria, lymph nodes involvement and eosinophilia.

Incidence and prevalence ratios of *Toxocara canis* infections are still not well known in humans. However, sero-epidemiological trials revealed different results depending on the studied population characteristics and study area[21]. Inconsistent with results of the present work, Burak Selel et al.[22] showed that “*Toxocara canis* may stimulate chronic urticaria, as they found 17.8% seropositivity [high than the present work and could be attributed to different inclusion criteria and sample size] in 73 patients with chronic urticaria and none in 109 healthy subjects”. In tropical countries, the patients can complain of dermatological complications due to this parasitic infestation.

In a case series, the incidence of skin problems is as high as 43%[23]. Interestingly, Gavignet et al.[24] reported that they didn’t doubt that *Toxocara canis* infection is a causative factor of chronic urticaria. They added *Toxocara canis* infection could be associated with other dermatological problems such as chronic pruritus, and miscellaneous eczema. Demirici et al.[25] found that *Toxocara canis* antibody positivity was 29% [n=62] on chronic urticaria patients in their study. Humbert et al.[26] investigated the relation of Toxocara canis seropositivity and different skin manifestations in 653 patients. *Toxocara canis* antibodies were found positive in 38.1% of 21 prurigo patients, 15.4% in 52 patients with itching, 19.5% in 128 chronic urticaria patients and 18.6% in 72 eczema patients which indicated a significant relation between *Toxocara* antibody positivity and skin problems.

According to Gavignet et al.[24] study, eosinophilia could be the sole reason for the development of skin manifestations which is explained by the subsequent release of cutaneous chemotactic factor by eosinophils. Another explanation is the histamine release due to the activity of proteinase of *Toxocara canis* larval excretory-secretory [TES] antigens.

---

**Table [3]:** Comparison between positive and negative toxocariasis among studied children.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Positive [n=14]</th>
<th>Negative [n=106]</th>
<th>T test</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age [mean±SD; range]</td>
<td>8.07±2.52; 5.0-13.0</td>
<td>7.49±2.21; 3.0-13.0</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.36[ns]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male 8 (57.1%)</td>
<td>Female 6 (42.9%)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.87[ns]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence</td>
<td>Rural 12 (85.7%)</td>
<td>Urban 2 (14.3%)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.32[ns]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact with pets</td>
<td>14 (100.0%)</td>
<td>85 (80.2%)</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>0.016*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geophagia</td>
<td>4 (28.6%)</td>
<td>12 (11.3%)</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic cough</td>
<td>6 (100.0%)</td>
<td>27 (79.4%)</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheezing</td>
<td>6 (100.0%)</td>
<td>34 (100.0%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea</td>
<td>3 (50.0%)</td>
<td>16 (47.1%)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic urticaria</td>
<td>3 (100.0%)</td>
<td>17 (45.9%)</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>0.036*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pruritus</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>20 (54.1%)</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>0.036*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unexplained focal neurological lesions</td>
<td>4 (80.0%)</td>
<td>33 (94.3%)</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph nodes involvement</td>
<td>1 (7.1%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>0.006*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophilia</td>
<td>7 (50.0%)</td>
<td>21 (19.8%)</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>0.012*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On the other side, there was no significant difference in sex distribution between positive and negative tests for anti-Toxocara antibodies. These results are consistent with Fragoso et al.[27] who studied children at their school entry for toxocariasis. Besides, other studies showing no association between sex and risk of toxocariasis[18,26]. These results were agreed with Badawey et al.[20], in Zagazig, Sharkia, Egypt who recorded that no significant difference between toxocariasis and sexes.

Results of the present work indicated that contact with pets is a significant association for toxocariasis. These results are comparable to previous literature. Parks and green areas inside the cities can be highly contaminated with Toxocara eggs because of walking pets. Dog shelters and pet shops could be the nesting place for adult forms of Toxocara. Feeding cat or dog at home or soil-eating habit are also important risk factors for toxocariasis[29-30]. Burak-Selek et al.[22] demonstrated that Toxocara canis seropositivity was much higher in patients with dog feeding history than the others. Other studies yield similar results[31-32]. On the other side, Fan et al. [33] observed that a high prevalence of toxocariasis occurred similarly in individuals who had contact with dogs and those who had not and thus suggested that both groups present the same risk for infection by Toxocara canis.

The present study results come in agreement with Kamuyu et al.[34] who studied the potential link between epilepsy and parasitic infections. Their findings showed an association between Toxocara canis exposure as well as higher values of Toxocara canis antibodies and the presence of active convulsive epilepsy. The link between Toxocara canis seropositivity and epilepsy was further examined and suggested that toxocariasis may be a cofactor in the development of epilepsy[35]. A meta-analysis by Quattrocchi et al.[17] included 7 case-control trials, including subjects with age range 1 to 17 years for different countries [Americas, Europe and Africa] supported the presence of a positive link between Toxocara canis seropositivity and epilepsy. Another more recent meta-analysis by Luna et al.[36] confirmed a positive association between Toxocara seropositivity and epilepsy. Pinelli and Aranzamendi[37] hypothesized the link between asthma and Toxocara canis, based on some experimental and epidemiological studies suggested that the infection with Toxocara canis could contribute to the development of allergy including asthma, Toxocara canis role was considered an important risk factor for allergy and other allergic disorders. However, the debate continues, some researchers suggest that parasitic infection even protects against asthma development [38], others showed that parasitic infection predisposes and could cause or trigger bronchial asthma[39].

The induction of a Th2 type of immune response characterized by the production of high levels of IgE and Eosinophilia is a shared immunological pathway in allergic diseases and toxocariasis[40]. A study by Gonzalez-Quintelaet al. [41] on 134 subjects with Toxocara exposure showed evidence of an intriguing interaction between Toxocara exposure and allergic sensitization for both total serum IgE levels and blood eosinophil counts. In a systematic review by Aghaie et al.[42] reviewing 17 studies, an increased risk for asthma was observed in children with Toxocara infection seropositivity [OR = 1.91]. Other reports suggested that, allergic manifestations, such as asthma, may be a consequence of parasitic infections[31, 43].

The results of the current study agree with that obtained by Badawey et al. [20], in Zagazig, Sharkia, Egypt who reported that 17.2% of toxocariasis was present in asthmatic patients and 10% in controls. Also, ElTantawy et al.[7] reported in Mansoura, Dakahlia Egypt 42% of toxocariasis was found in asthmatic patients and 8% in controls. On the other side, Sharghi et al.[44] did not find any association between Toxocara seropositivity and asthma among 95 asthmatic and 229 non-asthmatic children probably due to the lower number of asthmatic cases in that study.

**Conclusion:** The association between Toxocara canis infection and allergic diseases such as bronchial asthma, chronic urticaria and with cryptogenic epilepsy and focal neurological lesions gives an important clue to the cause of these diseases in absence of other clear
underlying cause. The results shown in the present work suggest a strong cause effect relationship. The contact with pets was the major determinant risk factor explaining the acquisition of infection after exposure. Prospective cohort studies were warranted to further investigate this cause effect relationship and to define effective preventive and therapeutic measures.
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