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ABSTRACT

Background: Diabetes mellitus [DM] is one of the most widespread chronic diseases. It is commonly known by its serious vascular complications including micro-vascular [as retinopathy] and macro-vascular [as ischemic heart diseases] complications. So, a correlation between those complications may be found.

Aim of the work: To investigate the correlation between diabetic retinopathy and increased risk of coronary artery diseases.

Patients and methods: This study enrolled fifty patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus [DM] who were referred for coronary angiography. Full History, clinical examination, biochemical tests, electrocardiography [ECG], Echocardiography, coronary angiography and fundus examination were carried out. Diabetic retinopathy was detected and classified; patients were classified into two groups: a group [A] with diabetic retinopathy [DR] and a group [B] without diabetic retinopathy. Coronary diseases were assisted by coronary angiography using two different scores.

Results: Population characters, including age, risk factors, duration of DM, lipid profile, angiography scores, were comparable between the two study groups. Patients in group [A] had significantly higher number of diseased vessels and higher Gensini score than those of Group [B]. The correlation was significant between the presence of the DR and both the number of diseased vessels \[r = 0.532\ P < 0.001\] and Gensini score \[r = 0.881, P < 0.001\].

Conclusion: The diabetic retinopathy is a serious risk factor for increasing the severity of coronary artery diseases and can consider as a predictor of CHD in patients with DR.
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INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular diseases [CVD] are believed to be one of the most leading causes of death in diabetic patients[1]. However, many diabetic patients with coronary artery disease [CAD] don’t have any other classic risk factor for coronary artery disease and half of them have a normal lipid profile[2].

Although diabetes was once deemed as a risk for CAD risk, heterogeneity of such risk was also increasingly recognized, making the new guidelines looking for a new assessment tool to specifically assess the risk for diabetic patients[3,4].

There is still a crucial need to discover a CAD prediction algorithm for patients with diabetes as the Framingham risk scores did not perform desirably in this population[5,6], and existing CAD models for prediction in diabetic patients lack accuracy[7].

Diabetic retinopathy [DR] is also a well-Known micro-angiopathic complication of DM with marked association with cardiovascular risk factors. It is usually detected by retinal [fundus] photographs. There are many qualitative markers for microvascular pathology assessment. However, now, computer-assisted programs can enable us to detect the micro-vascular damage [as retinal vascular caliber][8].

A marked association has appeared between those measures of microvascular damage and CAD not only in the general population but also in cohorts with diabetes, suggesting potential reflection of that retinal measures captured from retinal photographs, reflecting generalized microvascular disease, on further refining of CAD risk in diabetics[9].

Recent studies had suggested that retinopathy is considered an early sign of other vascular complications, being highly associated with development of CAD[10].

This is propped by previous studies that linked retinopathy signs with more ischemic T-wave changes on electro-cardiogram, coronary perfusion defects, poorer coronary flow reserve and lower coronary collateral scores, than those without retinopathy[11].

On the one hand, ophthalmologists focus mainly on the diagnosis and treatment of DR, but usually ignore the importance of these risk factors in the assessment of CVD; while the physicians often pay too much attention to CAD, but usually ignore the severity of DR. This way, the complicated conditions of diabetic patients are often overlooked and can significantly affect their quality of life. So about questioning, if screening for CHD should be applied in asymptomatic DR patients. Till now, neither consensus nor guideline has been established.

AIM OF THE WORK

We aimed here to investigate the correlation between presence and grading of DR, and the severity and extent of CAD in patients with type II DM.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Fifty cases were selected from consecutive type-II DM patients who had been admitted for coronary angiography because of suspected CAD to the catheterization laboratory of Al-Azhar University Hospitals between May 2018 and May 2019. Type-II DM was diagnosed as stated in the by the American Diabetes Association [ADS][12].

We exclude patients who have a duration of DM of less than one year, type-I diabetes mellitus, uncontrolled hypertension [systolic blood pressure > 180 mm Hg and/or diastolic blood pressure > 100 mmHg], previous coronary bypass surgery, known non-diabetic retinal disease and patients who have acute coronary syndrome. This study has been approved by the local Institutional Review Board.

After the informed consent was taken from all patients, all of them underwent full history taking, general and local examination, biochemical tests including: complete blood count [CBC], glycosylated hemoglobin [HgbA1c], serum creatinine, total cholesterol [TC], triglycerides [TG], low-density lipoprotein cholesterol [LDL-C] and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol [HDL-C]. Standard 12-lead surface Electrocardiogram [ECG] and Echocardiographic assessment.

Detection Ophthalmoscopy by Optical coherence tomography [OCT] was performed to all patients by an experienced ophthalmologist. The presence of retinopathy was detected and classified based on the International Clinical Diabetic
Retinopathy Scale into two groups, group A, with diabetic retinopathy and group B, without diabetic retinopathy.

Selective coronary angiography had been applied from the femoral approach using Judkin’s technique. By the usage of cine-angiographic equipment multiple views had been taken, with left anterior descending and left circumflex coronary arteries were visualized in at least four views and the right coronary artery was visualized in at least two views [12].

Scores were calculated from coronary angiograms by two techniques:

1) **Vessel score**: The vessel number with significant stenosis [the reduction in 50% or more in the lumen diameter]. The range of the scores was 0 to 3, and it depended on the vessels involving. Left main artery stenosis had been considered a single vessel disease.

2) **Severity score**: It’s known as the Gensini score, which was described previously. In the previously mentioned Gensini score, give a 1 for 25% stenosis and 2 for 50%, 4 for 75%, 8 for 90%, 16 for 99% and 32 for the total occlusion. Then the scores were multiplied by a factor [13].

The sum of all sector scores hands out the Gensini score, which emphasizes the degree of disease severity. These scores had been determined through one of the investigators without any learning about the clinical history or retina results.

**Statistical analysis:**

Quantitative data were expressed in mean ± standard deviation [SD] to measure the central tendency and dispersion of quantitative data.

Qualitative data were expressed in numbers and percentages. Correlation coefficient [r] was used to detect the correlation between different variables. The level of significance was taken at P value < 0.05. Statistical analysis was completed using SPSS [Statistical Package for Social Science version 22].

**RESULTS**

This study included fifty patients with type-II DM defined according to American Diabetes Association [12] and suspected CAD who underwent elective coronary angiography, 23 of them [46%] were males, while 27 [54%] were females; 36 patients [72%] were hypertensive, 17 patients [34%] were dyslipidemic, 19 patients [38%] were smokers, [9] with previous family history [18%] and 13 has ischemic heart disease [IHD] [26%].

In Table [1]: patients in group [A] were older, with longer duration of DM, had higher levels of HbA1c and had higher in lipid profile levels than patients in group B. There was no significant difference between the two groups regarding, age, and Risk factors for CAD and serum creatinine.

Among group [A], all patients had evidence of significant CAD by coronary angiography, 18 [72%] of them had multi-vessel disease, 6 [24%] had 2-vessel disease and only one [4%] patient had single vessel disease. On the other hand, among group [B], 9 [36%] patients had angiographic evidence of significant CAD; of them 2 [8%] patients had multi-vessel disease, 2 [8%] patients had 2-vessel disease and 5 [20%] patients had single vessel disease.

By Comparison of coronary angiography scores between the two groups, patients in the group [A], had a significantly higher vessel number [0.64±0.95 versus 2.36 ± 1.03, P < 0.001], and Gensini Score [20.72 ± 31.95 versus 68.72 ± 29.95, P < 0.001].

As shown in Table [2], there was significant increase of vessels score and Gensini score in group A when compared to group B [2.68±0.55, 68.72±29.95 vs 0.64±0.95, 20.72±31.95 respectively].

In addition, there was a significant positive correlation between the presence of DR and number of diseased vessels [r = 0.532, P = 0.001]. There was a highly significant positive correlation between the presence of DR and Gensini score [r = 0.881, P < 0.001].
Table [1]: Baseline characters of the Study groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-70</td>
<td>1 [4.0%]</td>
<td>6 [24%]</td>
<td>0.38 [NS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean±SD; Mini.-Maxi.</strong></td>
<td>59.16±6.83; 47-70</td>
<td>57.3±7.60; 45-72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>11 [44.0%]</td>
<td>12 [48.0%]</td>
<td>0.7 [NS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>14 [56.0%]</td>
<td>13 [52.0%]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration of DM</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 15</td>
<td>7 [28%]</td>
<td>12 [48%]</td>
<td>0.05 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-20</td>
<td>4 [14.0%]</td>
<td>5 [20.0%]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td>14 [56.0%]</td>
<td>8 [32.0%]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Risk factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>19 [76%]</td>
<td>17 [68%]</td>
<td>0.5 [NS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>8 [32%]</td>
<td>9 [36%]</td>
<td>0.7 [NS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>10 [40%]</td>
<td>9 [36%]</td>
<td>0.7 [NS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history</td>
<td>4 [16%]</td>
<td>5 [20%]</td>
<td>0.7 [NS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHD</td>
<td>8 [32%]</td>
<td>5 [20%]</td>
<td>0.3 [NS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HA1C</strong></td>
<td>8.44±1.39; 6.40 – 11.30</td>
<td>7.05±0.75; 5.8 – 8.30</td>
<td>0.005 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cholesterol</strong></td>
<td>219.9±63.9; 112.0 – 312.20</td>
<td>177.9±56.9; 104.9 – 306.5</td>
<td>0.01 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Serum creatinine</strong></td>
<td>0.96±0.18; 0.6 – 1.4</td>
<td>0.94±0.22; 0.6 – 1.4</td>
<td>0.7 [NS]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS: non-significant; *: Significant

Table [2]: Comparison between groups A and B as regard vessel and Gensini Score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vessel Score</strong></td>
<td>2.68 ± 0.55; 1 - 3</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.95; 0 - 3</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gensini Score</strong></td>
<td>68.72 ± 29.95; 15 - 138</td>
<td>20.72 ± 31.95; 0 - 100</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001 *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS: non-significant; *: Significant

Figure [1]: OCT showing moderate non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy
DISCUSSION

Cardiovascular diseases are considered to be the main cause of death for patients with type-2 diabetic patients. It accounts for about 3 times as many deaths among diabetic as among non-diabetics. DR was found to be correlated with CAD risk and to be a predictive tool for CAD events in diabetic patients. Similarly, with patients have cardiovascular diseases, diabetes carries a huge risk of worse outcomes and increases the morbidity and mortality risk, especially when associated complications as nephropathy, retinopathy and possibly neuropathy are present.

DR is a serious common microvascular complication in diabetic patients. It occurs in about 19%–30% of adult diabetic patients. In our research, we investigated the relation between DR and severity of CAD. We used coronary angiographic scores [Gensini and vessel scores] to examine the CAD severity.

Our study results demonstrated a relationship between the severity of DR and the severity of CAD detected by coronary angiography. Our results were agreed with results from Um et al. They reviewed 175 sheets of patients records retrospectively, patients were classified into three groups according to the severity of DR, 38 patients had DR, and 88 non-proliferative DR, and 49 with proliferative DR. Among those 3 diabetic retinopathy grading groups, there were significant differences in the duration of diabetes [p<0.001] and their mean HbA1c level [p=0.003], and no other significant differences. Comparing DSCT findings to
diabetic retinopathy severity, there was a significant increase in the ACS. \([p<0.001]\), as well as in the number of significant stenotic vessels \([p=0.011]\), as the diabetic retinopathy severity increased.

However our study differs from this one in that they did not determine severity of retinopathy and our group A was slightly older in age.

Our results were also consistent with a study by Cheung et al.\(^{14}\) who conducted a population-based, prospective cohort study consisting of 1,524 middle-aged individuals with type 2 diabetes without prevalent CAD and stroke. The signs of DR were graded and CAD events [myocardial infarction, fatal CAD, or coronary revascularization] were identified. 214 \([14.7\%]\) patients had diabetic retinopathy. after follow-up of 7.8 years, there were 209 \([13.7\%]\) develop CAD events. The presence of DR was associated with a 2 fold higher risk of devolving CAD events \(2.07\) \([95\% ci 1.38–3.11]\) and a 3 folds higher risk of fatal CAD \([3.35\) \([1.40–8.01]\)].

Tian et al.\(^{15}\) reported that, increased risk of CAD was significant in both men \([1.89\) \([1.08-3.31]\) and women \([2.16\) \([1.16–4.02]\) with diabetic retinopathy. This association independent of the glycemic level.

The major difference between our study and the previous two studies, that we evaluated the severity of CAD by coronary angiography, which is the gold standard tool for diagnosis of CAD.

Our findings are also consistent with previous epidemiological studies, reported that patients have DR have a higher incidence to develop cardiovascular disease\(^{15,4}\).

In the present study; old age, longer duration of DM were significantly evident in the stenotic group, Tian et al.\(^{15}\) reported that these factors have no clinical significance on the process of atherosclerosis.

Also in our study, there are significant differences in cholesterol level in the group with diabetic retinopathy, although in Yang et al.\(^{16}\), no significant association was found between blood lipid parameters and diabetic retinopathy. The findings in Koch et al.\(^{17}\) showed that, the CVD risk factors even the clinical symptoms, are not considered a valid tool for prediction of cardiovascular [CV] events and that coronary angiography should be performed in all diabetic patients before renal transplantation. Williams et al. found combined micro-vascular and macro-vascular sequel in 24% of diabetics \(^{18}\).

Coronary angiography is the absolute method and the cornerstone for diagnosing CAD, although not always handy in many cardiovascular units in the developing countries\(^{18}\).

Diagnosis of diabetic retinopathy is an easy and available outpatient clinical procedure. Its presence is a call for a complete examination the risk factors of CVD besides echocardiography stress ECG and coronary angiography\(^{2}\).

Cheung et al.\(^{14}\) had also reported similar results saying that DR is considered as an independent risk marker for CAD. Moreover, Bailey and Sparrow found that 24% of diabetic patients that have retinopathy have angina and myocardial infarction\(^{19}\).

**Study limitation**

First, the small sample size that may reduce its generalizability. Also, the coronary CT angiography has become more popular, but only a small numbers of patients with diabetes who decide to perform this procedure, moreover it is rare for those with no symptoms to do. Besides, not all diabetic patients are given a retinal evaluation. Therefore, our patient number was small and a multi-centered prospective study is needed in the future.

**Conclusion:** A strong relation was found between presence of diabetic retinopathy and its severity with the severity of CAD in patients with type-II DM and count to be predictor tool for CAD in the future.
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