Outcome of transpedicular screw fixation with Posterior Interbody Fusion in Management of Spondylolysthesis
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ABSTRACT

Background: Spondylolysthesis represents a particular and relatively frequent mechanism of intervertebral instability. It could cause low back pain due to forward or anterior displacement of one vertebra in relation to the adjacent lower vertebra. There are many treatment interventions. However, there was no consensus on the ideal approach.

Aim of the work: To assess the outcome of trans-pedicular screw fixation with posterior inter-body fusion in management of lumber and lumbosacral spondylolysthesis.

Patients and Methods: This is a prospective study, included twenty patients who underwent surgical lumbar laminectomy and disc removal with lumbar pedicle screw fixation with inter body fusion for patients with Spondylolysthesis who failed conservative measures.

Results: The mean preoperative visual analogue score (VAS) for pain was 7.75 ± 0.72 that decreased to 1.35 ± 0.59 at the end of postoperative sixth month, with statistically significant decrease. In addition, the majority of cases had no significant complications, although complication rate was 25%.

Conclusion: Posterolateral fusion with pedicle Screws fixation minimizes dislocation, achieves adequate decompression, corrects the sagittal axis, and accomplishes fusion. We successfully achieved solid fusion with good mechanical alignment in majority of the patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Spondylolsthesis refer to forward displacement of a vertebra over the underlying one. It could be congenital, isthmic, degenerative, traumatic, pathologic or postoperative[1].

The most commonly affected levels are L4-L5 (73.0%) followed by L3-L4 (18.0%). Spondylolsthesis affects 2.7%-5.0% of adult males and 8.1%-10.0% of adult females, and about 5% with L5-S1 as the commonest affected level in pediatrics[2].

There were five grades of slippage expressed as a percentage of the width of the slipped vertebral body (0-25%, 25-50%, 50-75%, 75-100% and more than 100.0% for grades I, II, III, IV and V successively)[3].

The typical presentation of spondylolsthesis is low back pain with or without neurologic and/or radicular manifestations[4].

The diagnostic workup usually included anteroposterior (AP) and lateral imaging films, with flexion/extension films as a key components in diagnostic workup and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (T-weighted sagittal and axial views) represent the gold-standard that could elucidate any compression on neuro-logical components[5].

Treatment usually determined by the severity of symptoms. Mild cases treated by non-surgical measures (rest, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory, physical therapy, and modifications of life style). Surgical intervention indicated with conservative therapy failed, for patients with neurological affection, progressed pain leading to limitation of daily activities[6,7].

Surgical options comprise de-compression, arthrodesis and circumferential fusion. Circumferential fusion hypothetically can relief the compression on the disc space, increase rate of fusion and improve correction of the deformity. Circumferential fusion could be achieved by anterior, posterior or transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion[8,9].

Paradoxically, despite this high rate of Spondylolsthesis and availability of different medical and surgical treatment options, there is no strong consensus on treatment, regarding medical, surgical or choice between medical and surgical options[9].

AIM OF THE WORK

The aim of this study is to assess the outcome of transpedicular screw fixation with posterior interbody fusion in management of lumber and lumbosacral Spondylolsthesis.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This study is a prospective study carried out on twenty patients who underwent surgical lumbar laminectomy and disc removal with lumbar pedicle screw fixation with inter body fusion for patients with Spondylolsthesis who failed conservative measures.

The inclusion criteria were: 1) Failure of conservative treatment for 6 months; 2) Spondylolsthesis between grade I and II; 3) Patients with isthmic or degenerative Spondylolsthesis.

However, exclusion criteria were: 1) Patients with severe Spondylolsthesis grade IV; 2) Patients with associated scoliosis; and 3) Acute traumatic Spondylolsthesis.

Preoperatively, the work of assessment included history taking, neurological examination and calculation of Oswestry Disability Index (ODI)[10], Visual Analogue Score (VAS)[11] for pain assessment, walking distance and Japanese Orthopedic Association (JOA) score[12] for low back pain.

In addition, imaging studies included MRI, computed tomography (CT) and dynamic plain X-ray.

The surgical treatment was carried out under general anesthesia with preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis in a prone position on spinal frame, with the abdomen free and the spine flexed to open the inter-laminar spaces. The surgical technique continues as described by Periasamy et al.[13]

The operative data included the affected site, the intraoperative blood loss, operative time and mode of fixation used. Postoperatively, there was assessment of pain and neurological disability if present. In addition, any intraoperative or postoperative complications were documented.

In this study we followed patients immediate postoperative, one week after surgery and six months postoperative where we evaluated them by clinical and radiological means.
Ethical considerations: Lumbar laminectomy and disc removal with lumbar pedicle screw fixation with inter body fusion consent includes discussing the operative procedure with the patient with its intended benefits of pain relief and possible improving function and symptoms of walk.

Discussing neurological deterioration, complications such as (Dural tear, infection, hematoma, nerve root injury).

The patient confidentiality and his/her right for withdrawal at any time was assured. The study protocol was approved by the local institutional review board (IRB) of Al-Azhar Faculty of Medicine.

Statistical analysis: Data entry and analyses were performed using SPSS statistical package version 10 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The quantitative data were presented as a mean and standard deviation.

Paired t-test was conducted to evaluate the impact of surgery on the mean of outcome scores. The qualitative data were presented as number and percentage. The chi-square ($\chi^2$) was used to find the association between variables of qualitative data. $P$ value of $\leq 0.05$ indicate significant difference.

RESULTS

The present trial included 20 subjects with various degrees of Spondylolysthesis; 13 of them (65.0%) were females indicating female sex predominance; their age ranged from 29 to 59 years, and 50.0% of them were in the age group (40-50 years).

Clinically, the majority of cases (80%) presented by low back pain with left sciatica (80.0%), back pain and right sciatica (10%), back pain, right sciatica and right food drop (5.0%) and weakness in flexion of left hip and paresthesia in left inguinal region (5.0%).

The most affected level was L4-L5 (encountered in 70.0%) followed by L5-S1 (25.0%) and finally L3-L4 (5.0%) (Table 1). All patients underwent pre-and post-operative x-ray and computed tomography.

In the present work, intraoperative blood loss ranged from 400 to 700 ml; the mean values was 510.0ml; the operative time ranged from 3 to 3.5 hours; the mean operative time was 3.20 hours; the type of fusion was pedicle screw fixation plus posterior lumbar interbody fusion among 18 subjects (90%) and with transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion among 2 subjects (10%).

Complications were reported in 5 subjects (25.0%); of those, CSF leak was reported in 2 subjects, represented 40% of all complications, mal-directed screw, slippage of the case, and secondary myelomeningocele (each in one case) (Table 2).

In the present study, preoperative pain ranged from 7 to 9, and the mean value was 7.75 ± 0.72, which significantly decreased to 2.70±0.80 at one week postoperative and significantly decreased to 1.35±0.59 at six months postoperatively.

In addition, there was significant decrease at six months postoperatively when compared to values at the first postoperative week (Table 3).

There was no statistically significant difference between studied patients regarding muscle weakness (there was 2 patients with muscle weakness, and at the postoperative time, the same patients still had muscle weakness. However, the degree of weakness decreased).

Figures 1 to 6 represented pre- and post-operative images for a 50 years old female patient with history of back pain and left sciatica with failure of conservative measures. Pre-operative x-rays and MRI were done showing L4-L5 Spondylolysthesis.

The patient underwent laminectomy of L4 and L5 and discectomy of L4-5, pedicle screw fixation between L4-L5 and interbody fusion by a cage. The operation was done by PLIF approach. The patient improved clinically as determined by JOA, ODI and VAS for back and leg pain. Post-operative x-rays of lumber spine was done showing rods and screws and cage in the proper site with no post-operative instability.
Table (1): Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>7 (35.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>13 (65.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD; Minimum-Maximum</td>
<td>46.85±8.45; 29 - 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40y</td>
<td>4 (20.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-50y</td>
<td>10 (50.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50y</td>
<td>6 (30.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical examination</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back pain and left sciatica</td>
<td>16 (80.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back pain and right sciatica</td>
<td>2 (10.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back pain, right sciatica and right foot drop</td>
<td>1 (5.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weakness in flexion of left hip and paresthesia in left inguinal region</td>
<td>1 (5.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Affected level</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3-L4</td>
<td>1 (5.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4-L5</td>
<td>14 (70.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5-S1</td>
<td>5 (25.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (2): Intraoperative data and postoperative complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood loss (ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative time (hours)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of fusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (3): Comparison between pre-operative pain and post-operative in one week and six months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VAS</th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>One week postoperative</th>
<th>Six months postoperative</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>7.75±0.72</td>
<td>2.70±0.80</td>
<td>1.35±0.59</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min.-Max.</td>
<td>7-9</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p1: p value for comparing between Preoperative and postoperative one week; p2: p value for comparing between Preoperative and post six months; p3: p value for comparing between postoperative one week and six months

Figure (1): Pre-operative x rays of lumbar spine showing L4-L5 Spondylolisthesis

Figure (2): Pre-operative MRI of lumbar spine showing L4-L5 Spondylolisthesis
DISCUSSION

Results of the present study revealed female sex predominance, and the majority were females.

Benguluri [14] conducted a study on 86 patients (58 females and 28 males), with the average age of 43 years.

In addition, Madan and Boeree [15] reported a mean age of 44.4 years, while Kim and Kim [16] included patients with mean age of 41.3 years.

Results of the present work are going in agreement with Agabegi and Fischgrund [17] who reported that, Spondylolysthesis in their work was either of isthmic or degenerative types with radicular manifestations and low back pain as the main symptoms.

Furthermore, results of present work agree with Benguluri [14] who reported that, the most common affected level was L4-L5 (55 cases) followed by L5-S1 (31 patients).

On the other side, Dantas et al. [18] reported equal number (45%) of patients involving the L4-L5 and L5-S1 levels. Otherwise, Ganju et al. [19] reported the L5-S1 affected rate of 52.27% and L4-L5 of 47.72%.

The current study revealed VAS score decreased from 7.75 ± 0.72 preoperatively to 1.35 ± 0.59 at six months with statistical significant decrease. These results agree with Rezk et al. [20] and El-Sayed et al. [21] who reported significant improvement of pain after surgical intervention for Spondylolysthesis.

Hackenberg et al. [22] reported that pain
improvement as reflected by VAS was related to the pre-operative pathology, where the isthmic Spondylolysthesis had more VAS improvement when compared to the degenerative type.

The present study assessed complication rates among studied groups revealing that majority of studied groups (75%) had no complications, 2 cases had C.S.F leak. Rezk et al.\(^{[20]}\) yielded a complication rate of 17.1%. CSF leaks could occur as a consequence of spine trauma or surgical intervention. These leaks are a significant problem as they are associated with persistent headaches and liable to infection (e.g., meningitis). Surgical re-intervention is often required and thorough direct closure of the dura is required. Otherwise, fascial graft for closure become mandatory.\(^{[23]}\)

In conclusion, the described surgical intervention yielded lower postoperative complications. Posterolateral fusion with pedicle screw fixation was associated with minimal dislocation, achieves acceptable decompression, fixes the sagittal axis, and attains satisfactory fusion. Solid fusion with good mechanical alignment was attainable in the majority of patients. Posterolateral fusion can be an alternative for the treatment of lumbar Spondylolysthesis.
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